EXHIBIT 1

ii										
1 2	2. Unless you respond, your default will be entered upon application of the Plaintiff and this Court may enter judgment against you for the relief demanded in the Complaint, which could result in the taking of money or property or other relief requested in the Complaint.									
3	3. If you intend to seek the advise of an attorney in this matter, you should do so promptly so that your response may be filed on time.									
4	4. The State of Nevada, its political subdivisions, agencies, officers, employees, board members,									
5	commission members, and legislators, each have 45 days after service of this Summons within which to file an answer or other responsive pleading to the Complaint.									
6	Submitted by: CLERK OF THE COURT OUSTRICE									
7	111 1 OVI III HISAMARIE VAQUERQUURT									
8	G. MARKA/BRIGHT, FSQ., Deputy Clerk Regional Justice Center OCT 15 2013									
9	Nevada Bar No. 1394 200 Lewis Avenue									
10	Las Vegas, Nevada 89106 Las Vegas, Nevada 89133									
11	Attorneys for Plaintiff									
12	NOTE: When service is by publication, add a brief statement of the object of the action.									
13	See Rules of Civil Procedure 4 (b).									
14										
4										
1.5	STATE OF									
15	STATE OF) ss. AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE									
15 16	COUNTY OF) ss.									
	COUNTY OF, being duly sworn, says: That at all times herein affiant was and is a citizen of the, the proceeding in which this affidavit is made. That									
16	COUNTY OF) ss.									
16 17	county of, being duly sworn, says: That at all times herein affiant was and is a citizen of the United States, over 18 years of age, not a party to nor interested in the proceeding in which this affidavit is made. That affiant received copy (ies) of the Summons and Complaint in the above captioned matter on the day of, 2013, and served the same on the day of, 2013, by:									
16 17 18	COUNTY OF, being duly sworn, says: That at all times herein affiant was and is a citizen of the United States, over 18 years of age, not a party to nor interested in the proceeding in which this affidavit is made. That affiant received copy (ies) of the Summons and Complaint in the above captioned matter on the day of, 2013, and served the same on the day of, 2013, by: (Affiant must complete the appropriate paragraph)									
16 17 18	COUNTY OF, being duly sworn, says: That at all times herein affiant was and is a citizen of the United States, over 18 years of age, not a party to nor interested in the proceeding in which this affidavit is made. That affiant received copy (ies) of the Summons and Complaint in the above captioned matter on the day of, 2013, and served the same on the day of, 2013, by: (Affiant must complete the appropriate paragraph)									
16 17 18 19 20 21	COUNTY OF									
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COUNTY OF									
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23										
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24										
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25										
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24										
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	being duly sworn, says: That at all times herein affiant was and is a citizen of the United States, over 18 years of age, not a party to nor interested in the proceeding in which this affidavit is made. That affiant receivedcopy (ies) of the Summons and Complaint in the above captioned matter on theday of, 2013, and served the same on theday of, 2013, by: (Affiant must complete the appropriate paragraph) 1. Delivering and leaving a copy with the Defendant at (state address) at (state address)									
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26										

ALBRIGHT, STODDARD CREATION PROFESSIONAL CORFORMTON OUAL PARK, SUITE D-4 GO SOUTH RANCHO DRIVE LEE VEGAB, NEVADA SBIOG	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	b. With
	24	
	25	
	26	
	27	
	28	

A-13-690188-C

CIVIL COVER SHEET
Clark County, Nevada

XXX

Case No.
(Assigned by Clerk's Office)

I. Party Information							
Plaintiff(s) (name/address/phone): Ilia N. Za for the benefit of Dream Marriage Group, In-	vialov, in the right of and c.	Defendant(s) (name/address/phone): Anastasia Popova, Does 1 through 50, inclusive,					
Attorney (name/address/phone): 702-384-71 G. MARK ALBRIGHT, ESQ #1394. ALBRIGHT, STODDARD, WARNICK & A 801 S. RANCHO DR., STE. D-4 LAS VEGAS, NV 89106		Attorney (name/address/phone):					
II. Nature of Controversy (Please chapplicable subcategory, if appropr	neck applicable bold categor iate)	y and	□ Arbitration Requested				
	Civil	Cases					
Real Property			Torts				
□ Landlord/Tenant □ Unlawful Detainer □ Title to Property □ Foreclosure □ Liens □ Quiet Title □ Specific Performance □ Condemnation/Eminent Domain □ Other Real Property □ Partition □ Planning/Zoning	Negligence - Auto Negligence - Medica Negligence - Premis Negligence - Other	ıl Dental	□ Product Liability □ Product Liability/Motor Vehicle □ Other Torts/Product Liability □ Intentional Misconduct □ Torts/Defamation (Libet/Slander) □ Interfere with Contract Rights □ Employment Torts (Wrongful termination) □ Other Torts □ Anti-trust □ Frand/Misrepresentation □ Insurance □ Legal Tort □ Unfair Competition				
Probate		Oth	er Civil Filing Types				
Summary Administration General Administration Special Administration Set Aside Estates Probate Trust/Conservatorships Other Probate	Insurance Commerci Other Con Collection Employme Guarantee Uniform C	& Construction Carrier lal Instrument stracts/Acct/Judge of Actions ent Contract tell tract	Other Civil Filing Compromise of Minor's Claim Conversion of Property Damage to Property Employment Security Enforcement of Judgment Foreign Judgment - Civil Cles Other Personal Property				
III. Business Court Requested (Plea							
□ NRS Chapters 78-88 □ Commodities (NRS 90) □ Securities (NRS 90)	□ Deceptive	ts (NRS 104B) Trade Practices (ts (NRS 600A)					
Date Oct.	13	Signature	of mitiating party of representative				

Electronically Filed 10/15/2013 10:44:11 AM COMP 1 ALBRIGHT, STODDARD, WARNICK CLERK OF THE COURT 2 & ALBRIGHT G. Mark Albright, Esq. 3 Nevada Bar No. 001394 Quail Park 1, Suite D-4 4 801 South Rancho Drive Las Vegas, Nevada 89106 5 Tel: 702-384-7111 Fax: 702-384-0605 Email: gma@albrightstoddard.com Attorney for Plaintiffs 7 8 **NEVADA DISTRICT COURT** 9 **CLARK COUNTY** ALBRIGHT, STODDARD, WARNICK & ALBRIGHT 10 11 Ilia N. Zavialov, in the right of and 12 Case No. A-13-690188-C for the benefit of Dream Marriage Group, Inc. 13 XXX LAW DFFICES Department No. 14 SHAREHOLDER DERIVATIVE ACTION Plaintiff FOR DAMAGES, PRELIMINARY AND 15 PERMANENT INJUNCTION, AND RECEIVERSHIP 16 Anastasia Popova, Does 1 through 50, inclusive, 17 Jury Trial Demanded 18 Defendants 19 -and-20 EXEMPT FROM ARBITRATION: SEEKS Dream Marriage Group, Inc. 21 **EQUITABLE OR EXTRAORDINARY RELIEF** Nominal Party 22 23 Plaintiff Ilia N. Zavialov, derivatively on behalf of Dream Marriage Group, Inc., alleges: 24 25 26 27 28 -1-

22.

INTRODUCTORY ALLEGATIONS

- 1. Ilia N. Zavialov, derivatively on behalf of Dream Marriage Group, Inc. ("Plaintiff") is a resident of Washington State and has been a holder in good standing of the common voting stock of nominal party Dream Marriage Group, Inc. ("Dream Marriage" or "corporation") since 2006. Ilia N. Zavialov is also the President, Secretary, and a director of Dream Marriage. Ilia N. Zavialov is currently married to Defendant Anastasia Popova.
- 2. As a current stockholder of Dream Marriage during the periods in which the wrongdoings as alleged herein have occurred, Ilia N. Zavialov has standing to assert these claims on behalf of the corporation and will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the corporation and its other shareholders.
- Defendant Anastasia Popova ("Defendant") is an individual residing at 7225
 Crescent Park West, Apartment 147, Playa Vista, California. Defendant is the Treasurer as well as a director and shareholder of Dream Marriage.
- 4. Nominal party Dream Marriage Group, Inc. is a Nevada domestic for profit corporation in good standing, which in relation to the above-described judicial district, maintains one of its offices at 7473 W. Lake Mead Blvd., Las Vegas, Nevada.
- 5. The true names and capacities of Defendants DOES 1 through 50 inclusive are unknown and therefore said Defendants are sued by such fictitious names. Leave of Court to amend this Complaint to show the true names and capacities will be made when the same have been ascertained. All of said fictitiously named Defendants are in some manner responsible for the acts, omissions, and obligations incurred herein.
- 6. At all times herein mentioned, each Defendant, including Does 1 through 50, inclusive was the agent and employee of the other Defendants and was acting within the course and scope of that agency and employment in the actions as alleged herein. In committing the wrongful acts particularized herein, defendants have pursued or joined in the pursuit of a common course of conduct, and have acted in concert with one another in furtherance of their common plan or design. In addition to the wrongful conduct particularized herein as giving rise to primary liability, defendants further aided and abetted and/or assisted each other in breach of their respective duties.

Each of the defendants aided and abetted and rendered substantial assistance in the wrongs detailed herein. In taking such actions to substantially assist the commission of the wrongdoing detailed herein, each defendant acted with knowledge of the primary

- 7. Personal jurisdiction over Defendant is proper in the State of Nevada for the reasons that Defendant is: a) an officer, director, and shareholder of Dream Marriage which is a corporation domiciled in this State, b) has in the past conducted substantial business operations and activity in this state, including but not limited to operation of the web site known as www.dream-marriage.com, c) has been physically present, from time to time, in this State in the conduct of that business.
- 8. Venue is proper under Nevada Revised Statutes Section 13.010(1) in this judicial district in that some of the contractual and statutory obligations of Defendant as a shareholder, director, and officer of Dream Marriage were incurred in Las Vegas, Nevada. In addition, in that same corporate capacity, Defendant's obligations were to be performed for the corporation in this judicial district. In addition, under NRS Section 13.040, since Defendant does not reside in any county of Nevada, venue is proper in any county which the Plaintiff may designate in the complaint.

OBLIGATIONS AND DUTIES OF DEFENDANT

- 9. By reason of Defendant's position as director, shareholder and officer of the corporation, and because of her ability to control the business, she owed the corporation and its shareholders the duty to exercise due care and diligence in the management and administration of the affairs of the corporation and in the use and preservation of its property and assets; the duty of loyalty, to put the interests of the corporation above her own financial interests; and the duty of candor, including full and candid disclosure of all material facts related thereto. The conduct of Defendant complained of herein involves knowing violations of her duties as a director, officer, and shareholder of the corporation, and the absence of good faith on her part, poses a risk of serious injury to the corporation.
- 10. To discharge these duties, Defendant was required to exercise reasonable and prudent supervision over the management, policies, practices, controls, and financial and corporate affairs of the corporation.

 Defendant breached her duties of loyalty, full disclosure, due care and/or good faith as more particularly described in the causes of action set forth below.

DERIVATIVE ACTION DEMAND

- 12. Ilia N. Zavialov brings this action derivatively in the right and for the benefit of Dream Marriage to redress the injuries suffered, and to be suffered, by the corporation as a direct result of the breach of fiduciary duty, conversion of corporate income, and unjust enrichment, alleged herein. The corporation is named as a nominal defendant solely in a derivative capacity.
- 13. On or about October 7, 2013, Ilia N. Zavialov derivatively on behalf of the corporation served the Defendant with a Demand for Corporate Action, a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit "A". That Demand requested that Defendant cease and desist any further wrongful activity or confirm her consent to participate in bringing an action on behalf of the corporation to address these wrongdoings and damages. As of the date of this Complaint, Defendant has failed and refused to comply.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Proven Success of Dream Marriage:

- 14. Plaintiff and Defendant were married in Bristow, Virginia on or about May 1, 2003.
- 15. In 2003, Plaintiff and Defendant conceived and put into operation a general partnership under the name of Dream Marriage, initially as an unincorporated entity. This business consisted of an international dating service involving a website format and specializing in the matching and introductions of eligible Russian and Ukrainian women for both dating and potential marriage.
- On November 29, 2006, Plaintiff and Defendant incorporated Dream Marriage as a domestic for-profit Nevada corporation.
- 17. From and after 2003, Plaintiff and Defendant jointly devoted substantial time, energy, money, property, expenses, and resources to the development and expansion of Dream Marriage. This included, but was not limited to: 1) creating, developing, coding, marketing, maintaining, and operating the primary international web site known as:

The

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

www.dream-marriage.com., 2) setting up international offices, including secondary branch offices in Consett, Great Britain, Charleston, Nevis, West Indies, and Las Vegas, Nevada, with over 500 other cooperating offices (primarily in Russia and the Ukraine), 3) hiring website creators, developers, marketers, and coders, 4) hiring substantial numbers of employees to maintain the business, currently numbering 43, 5) hiring subcontractors for the purpose of maintaining the website, legal and technical support, design development and implementation, 6) establishing relationships with major vendors for the purposes of merchant accounts, hosting services, industry conventions, 7) establishing affiliate and referral sources consisting of internet marketers and networks around the globe, 8) establishing and promoting the business through social media, including Facebook, Twitter, blogs, and YouTube, 9) securing valuable reputation and goodwill internationally, including a respected client base, 10) creating sustained profitability, 11) developing valuable proprietary and confidential information and trade secrets, 12) creating and sustaining valuable international trademark and trade name protection, and 13) establishing domestic branding with live customer service and local domestic operations to protect the consumer. As a result of these efforts, said business has become one of the world's leading online international dating services.

- 18. Revenue from Dream Marriage comes primarily from online user payments through major credit cards and PayPal. In turn, that income is deposited into business bank accounts. Signatories to these accounts consists of Ilia N. Zavialov and Defendant. Under standard accounting principles, gross revenues are disbursed for business purposes, including: website expenses, marketing, advertising, employee salaries and benefits, subcontractor expenses, vendors, rent, utilities, office expenses, repairs and maintenance, licenses, supplies, commissions, travel and entertainment, insurance, legal and accounting, and international cooperates known as agencies (collectively "business expenses").
- Timely payment of business expenses is necessary for the continued 19. maintenance and promotion of the business. Indeed, the very survival of said business depends upon such timely payment. Further, in the competitive international dating market, especially online, reputation, credibility, customer base, goodwill, and search engine placement, are fragile-dependent on a current, professional, on-time, quality customer service interface, and an uninterrupted Internet presence. The Internet dating market is also such that if such a business were to become impaired, degraded,

- 20. Due to the hard work devoted to the business by Plaintiff and Defendant, it has become a profitable business venture. In particular, the business has experienced net profits for the following fiscal years: 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, and 2013.
- 21. At all times here mentioned, Plaintiff had every intention of continuing the operation of the business for the indefinite future. Said Plaintiff also had the intention of expanding the business by entering new and/or different market areas such as American dating site(s), Latin market dating, Asian market dating, African market dating.
- 22. By consent and as authorized by the parties and the corporation, Plaintiff and Defendant have agreed that Plaintiff's duties include all financial, corporate and legal management as well as marketing programs and human resources. Also by consent and authorization, the parties have agreed that Defendant's duties include technical supervision and operations of the website, customer service department operations, design and website development and implementation, social media operations, agency relations department, marketing programs, and daily task/operations of the designated departments. The assignment of those duties constitutes a fair, equitable, and productive way to operate the corporation.
- 23. Future prospects for said business are excellent and it will continue to capitalize on the keen interest shown internationally for the subject matter.
- 24. At all times herein mentioned, Plaintiff acted in fulfilling his duties as President, Secretary, a director, and shareholder in full compliance with the law, corporate minutes, resolutions, bylaws, and in a competent and professional manner. In particular, but not by way of limitation, Plaintiff as President handled the collection and payment of business debts in a reasonable manner and without any acts or omissions constituting derelict of duty, negligence, or misappropriation.

The Plan of Diversion and Control:

25. Beginning in approximately mid to late 2009, Plaintiff and Defendant began to experience certain marital differences. Notwithstanding, it was Plaintiff's intention to continue the joint operation of their successful business venture.

- 27. Defendant thereupon embarked on a scheme that would allow her to file a petition for dissolution of marriage and immediately prior thereto to take steps to seize control of the corporation and its finances, to the exclusion of Plaintiff.
- 28. In so doing, Defendant planned to divert and fully control all revenue, including collection, accounting, and disbursement of the money of the corporation. As such, completely control financial decisions. In addition, Defendant planned to take steps to assume complete control and decision making of the corporation.

The Take-Over:

- 29. As the co-owner of Dream Marriage, Defendant had access to private and confidential information about the business. This included, that was not limited to the user names, passwords, account numbers, and codes used to receive and process third-party merchant processing including accounts with PayPal.
- 30. Commencing approximately late August of 2013, Defendant went online with the business PayPal account and using the secret coding information, changed the login credentials and access to the account into her sole name and stopped and/or diverted all accounts receivable from the jointly accessible business accounts to either a non-distributing status (meaning the funds were held in such account not used by the business and not accessible by Ilia N. Zavialov) and/or transferable to only accounts designated by Defendant, including an account maintained by the corporate in-house accountant of which Plaintiff did not have access. Defendant thereupon took complete control and management of that account to the exclusion of all other persons. This enabled Defendant complete control to direct payments and disbursements of the corporation.

With the knowledge and consent of Defendant, prior thereto Plaintiff had the right and responsibility of handling the corporate financial matters, including payment of debts and expenses after receiving PayPal funds into various business accounts of which he was signatory. This allowed Plaintiff to adequately collect and dispurse monies either directly to payees or in blocks of money transferred to the account maintained by the in-house accountant (thereby giving directions for disbursements to that accountant). Additionally,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

this allowed Plaintiff to make disbursements for international salaries, affiliates, and credit card statements.

As of August of 2013, Defendant secretly diverted without the knowledge and consent of Plaintiff, corporate revenues into the account maintained by the in-house account and thereupon assumed complete control by being the sole person directing disbursement. This had the effect of completely excluding Plaintiff and any others from access to funds, ability to make or authorize payments, and his obligations as to the financial matters of the corporation. As a result, Defendant took complete financial control over the corporation.

- 31. In so doing, Defendant acted without the knowledge, consent, or authorization of Plaintiff in order to wrongfully divert all such monies.
- 32. Plaintiff alleges on information and belief that as of the date of the filing of this Complaint, Defendant has diverted approximately \$1,200,000.00, of which \$740.000.00 is liquid cash. Based upon the corporation's past record of receivables, it is anticipated this diversion will continue at the approximate rate of \$12,000.00 per day. Defendant conducted such misconduct without any prior court orders or permission.
- 33. Plaintiff alleges on information belief that notwithstanding the diversion of such funds. Defendant has not adequately provided for the payment of expenses for the ongoing operation of the business, has diverted same improper purposes, and used substantial monies to pay expenses and fees for unauthorized and improvident purposes.
- Plaintiff alleges on information and belief that Defendant has diverted other 34. income, the nature, extent, and amount according to prove at trial.
- 35. Defendant has not disclosed or reported to Plaintiff how much and to whom disbursements are being made.
- 36. The diversion and misuse of said business funds has and will continue to detrimentally effect the operation of the business.
- 37. On September 6, 2013, Defendant as Petitioner filed a Petition for Dissolution of Marriage in the California proceeding described in paragraph 1.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Cour	nt l
Conve	rsion

- 38. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference the allegations in the Introductory Allegations, the Obligations and Duties of Defendant, the Factual Background, and the previous causes of action.
- 39. At all times herein mentioned, the corporation owned and had the right to possession of business revenues, and all accounts receivable from various sources.
- Defendant has intentionally and substantially interfered with said revenue 40. a) diverting same as more described in paragraph 30, b) exercising sources by: unauthorized control and possession, c) preventing Plaintiff and others access, d) preventing Plaintiff and others their rightful input as to how dispursement would be made, and e) other acts of diversion according to proof. Such misconduct constitutes conversion.
- 41. All such conversion was done without the knowledge and consent of the other shareholders, officers, and directors of the corporation.
- 42. As a direct and proximity result thereof, the corporation has sustained and will continue to sustain significant damages, in amounts according to proof, including but not limited to:
 - A. The corporation has been prevented from having the benefit of and access to revenue sources on a regular basis.
 - B. The inability to put revenue sources to productive use.
 - C. The inability of the corporation to pay necessary bills.
 - D. The incurring of penalties and interest.
 - E. Losses due to cancellations and refunds.
 - F. Loss of interest and lost investment opportunity in the failure to receive full net profits and the ability to put same to productive use.
 - G. Loss of the ability to expand and improve the business because of lack of productivity.
 - H. Lost profitability of the business, including damages for diminished net profits (past, current, and the present value of future losses).
 - Diminished fair market value of the corporation as a result of mismanagement.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

J.	Diminished	goodwill	and	reputation	of	the	corporation	in	the	internationa
	dating indus	stry and co	mmc	unity.						

- K. Diminished goodwill and reputation of the corporation as to the users of the corporate web sites.
- L. Principal amounts due, together with penalties and interest, incurred through the U.S. Internal Revenue Service, various state revenue offices, international revenue offices, and other government taxing authorities for the failure to properly pay, report, and file all necessary tax returns on a timely and complete basis.
- M. Damages as a result of the failure to pay required all premiums for insurance, whether liability, property damage, bodily injury, and other business policies, on a timely basis, together with increased cost as a result of reinstatement or higher premiums due to prior cancellations.
- N. Damages as a result of the failure to pay required worker's compensation premiums, on a timely basis, together with increased cost as a result of reinstatement or higher premiums due to prior cancellations.
- O. Losses as a result of diminishing referrals because of unsatisfactory service.
- P. Losses as a result of demands for cancellation or refunds from users due to unsatisfactory service.
- Q. Costs and expenses, including attorney's fees, to defend actions, claims, and proceedings due to unsatisfactory service and breaches of contract.
- R. Impairment of employee relations due to Defendant wrongfully mismanaging the business without the requisite knowledge and skill.
- S. Lost and diminished relationships with vendors, associates, and affiliates.
- T. Losses as a result of the diminished productivity, wasted employee hours, extended overhead, lack of efficiency of employees, and other impact damages according to proof.
- U. Loss of employees, managers and officers, and the cost and expense of replacing same.
- V. Reimbursement for Defendant receiving a salary, draw, and other compensation for performing incompetent services.
- W. Impeding in the smooth and efficient operation of the corporation.
- X. Additional damages according to proof at trial.

43. In performing these wrongful acts, Defendant acted intentionally, recklessly, fraudulently, despicably, maliciously, and in bad faith; therefore the corporation is entitled to punitive damages.

Count II Intentional Breach of Fiduciary Duty

- 44. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference the allegations in the Introductory Allegations, the Obligations and Duties of Defendant, the Factual Background, and the previous causes of action.
- 45. Defendant owed the corporation certain fiduciary obligations. This includes the highest obligations of good faith, fair dealing, trust, and loyalty.
- 46. Defendant has breached her fiduciary duties to the corporation by the following wrongful acts and omissions:
 - A. Taking action to gain sole management, decision making, and control of the functions of the corporation.
 - B. The misconduct described in paragraph 30, including changing, re-coding, denying access, and making modifications to passwords on the corporate PayPal account so as to assume sole control.
 - C. Unnecessarily accumulating and stockpiling the majority of revenues in the corporate PayPal account.
 - D. Failing to adequately dispurse the majority of funds from the corporate PayPal account into various business checking accounts.
 - E. Falling to adequately and timely pay many of the important business debts and expenses for ongoing operations in the ordinary course of business.
 - F. Failing to secure consent and approval from other shareholders, officers and directors as to decisions made on behalf of the corporation.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	-
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	1
20)
21	
22	2
23	3

25

26

27

28

G. Failing to	give adva	ance	notificatio	n/disclosus	e or the h	olding	of meeting	s as	s to
shareholders,									
corporation.									

- H. Excluding Ilia N. Zavialov from his rightful operation, participation, management, and other duties as an officer, director, and shareholder.
- I. Preventing Ilia N. Zavialov from supervising, directing, or managing employees and independent contractors. This would include the already existing duties such as hiring, firing, or disciplining employees.
- Failing to adequately maintain corporate records.
- K. Failing to pay ongoing insurance premiums.
- L. Failing to pay ongoing fax obligations.
- M. Failing to adequately and timely file tax returns and reports.
- N. Failing to give adequate data and documentation to the corporation accountant.
- O. Failing to adequately supervise corporate employees.
- P. Causing a volatile, unproductive, and a negative work environment for employees and managers.
- Q. Performing Defendant's functions in a negligent manner.
- R. Failing to act as a fiduciary.
- S. Defaming Ilia N. Zavialov to the corporation's employees, vendors, associates, affiliates, and other third parties and entities.

10

11

12

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1	T. Denying Ilia N. Zavia
1	1. Denying ilia N. Zavia
2	U. Instructing and
3	communicate with Ilia N
4	
5	V. Taking corporate
6	meetings.
7	M. Desciving company
8.	W. Receiving compens or other forms, for the
9	without proper corporat
10	amount thereof.
11	
12	X. Other bad faith and
13	dissuade Plaintiff from
14	foregoing elements of not being requested inc
15	not boing to quotes and
16	47. Defendant's miscon
	in bad faith and was done kno
17	favoring her personal interests
18	48. As a direct and prox
19	continue to sustain significant
20	49. In performing these

Т	Denving Ilia N.	Zavialov	access to	corporate	records,	accounts,	and	operations
---	-----------------	----------	-----------	-----------	----------	-----------	-----	------------

- encouraging employees not to deal with, contact, or . Zavialov.
- action without the required shareholder's and director's
- sation from the corporation, whether by way of salary, draw, performance of duties which constitute misconduct and te minutes, resolutions, or sound advice/approval as to the
- d intentional acts to exclude, prevent, impair, impede, and taking any further involvement in the corporation. The damage have been sustained by the corporation and are dividually or to the benefit personally of Ilia N. Zavialov.
- nduct was not due to an honest error of judgment, but rather owingly, willfully, intentionally or recklessly for the purpose of s over that of the corporation.
- ximity result thereof, the corporation has sustained and will damages as more particularly described in paragraph 42.
- e wrongful acts, Defendant acted intentionally, recklessly, fraudulently, despicably, maliciously, and in bad faith; therefore the corporation is entitled to punitive damages.

Count III Negligent Breach of Fiduciary Duty

50. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference the allegations in the Introductory Allegations, the Obligations and Duties of Defendant, the Factual Background, and the previous causes of action.

- 51. In taking over management and control of the corporation, Defendant thereupon undertook the duty of the acting with due care, reasonable inquiry, oversight, supervision, and taking all necessary actions to adequately manage the corporation.
- 52. By the wrongful actions described herein, Defendant has abandoned her fiduciary duties as to prudently managing the operation of the corporation in a manner consistent with reasonable business policy. As such, Defendant has performed below the standard of care that would be indicated for a professional manager of such a corporation. Specifically, Defendant is unable to confer the requisite knowledge, experience, and know-how to properly fulfill her duties.
- 53. As a direct and proximate result thereof, the corporation has sustained and will continue to sustain significant damages as described in paragraph 42.

Count IV Gross Negligence and Mismanagement

- 54. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference the allegations in the Introductory Allegations, the Obligations and Duties of Defendant, the Factual Background, and the previous causes of action.
- 55. In taking over management and control of the corporation, Defendant thereupon undertook the duty of the acting with due care, reasonable inquiry, oversight, supervision, and taking all necessary actions to adequately manage the corporation.
- 56. By the wrongful actions described herein, defendant has abandoned her fiduciary duties as to prudently managing the operation of the corporation in a manner consistent with reasonable business policy. As such, defendant has performed gross negligence, reckless misconduct, and severe mismanagement on behalf of the corporation.
- 57. As a direct and proximity result thereof, the corporation has sustained and will continue to sustain significant damages as described in paragraph 42.

Count V Negligence--Waste of Corporate Assets

58. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference the allegations in the Introductory Allegations, the Obligations and Duties of Defendant, the Factual Background, and the previous causes of action.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 59. By engaging in their wrongdoings here and described, Defendant wasted corporate assets by, among other things, the acts and omissions described in Counts III and IV.
- 60. As a direct and proximate result thereof, the corporation has suffered damages as more particularly described in paragraph 42.

Count VI Unjust Enrichment

- 61. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference the allegations in the Introductory Allegations, the Obligations and Duties of Defendant, the Factual Background, and the previous causes of action.
- 62. As a result of the wrongdoings described in Counts I and II, Defendant has been and will continue to divert income at the expense of and to the detriment of the corporation. Plaintiff alleges on information and belief that some of this diversion was for Defendant's personal uses.
- 63. Accordingly, this Court is requested to disgorge any all profits, monies, assets, benefits, and other compensation that may wrongfully have been obtained by Defendant and should order full repayment and reimbursement.

Count VII Injunctive Relief

- 64. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference the allegations in the Introductory Allegations, the Obligations and Duties of Defendant, the Factual Background, and the previous causes of action.
- 65. The corporation is one of the leading businesses and web sites in the industry of international dating. As such, the corporation is exceptionally unique and proprietary.
- 66. For Defendants misconduct and other wrongful action, the corporation has and will suffer grave and irreparable injury. Said corporation does not have an adequate remedy of law in the form of monetary damages, which will not adequately compensate it for losses. Further, in balancing any hardship between the corporation and Defendant, the interest of the corporation would predominate.
- 67. Accordingly, Plaintiff reserves the right to request a preliminary and permanent injunction, together with any temporary restraining orders, to restrain Defendant from further wrongful acts or omissions.

Count VII Appointment of a Receiver

- 68. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference the allegations in the Introductory Allegations, the Obligations and Duties of Defendant, the Factual Background, and the previous causes of action.
- 69. The corporation has a direct interest in the preservation of its property, funds, and the proceeds thereof. The interest being assertive by the corporation is likely and probable.
- 70. Additionally, the property and funds of the corporation are in danger of being lost, removed, or materially injured by the wrongful acts and omissions of Defendant.
- 71. Under Nevada Revised Statutes 32.010(1), the corporation reserves the right to bring an application for the appointment of a receiver to take management and control of the corporation.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, plaintiff requests judgment as follows:

- A. Monetary damages sustained by the corporation as a result of Defendant's wrongful acts and omissions;
 - B. Punitive damages;
- C. Extraordinary equitable and/or injunctive relief as necessary or permitted by law, equity and the statutory provisions sued hereunder, including disgorgement, attachment, impoundment, imposition of a constructive trust, and injunctive relief;
- D. The appointment of a receiver to operate, manage, collect receivables, make disbursements, execute documents and contracts, and otherwise supervise active operations;

ALBRIGHT, STODDARD, WARNICK & ALBRIGHT
A PROFESSONAL CORPORATION
OUAL PARK, SUITE DAI
BOD SOUTH RANCHO PRIVE
LAS VSBAS, NEWADA BODG

Exhibit "A"

Demand For Corporate Action

To: The board of directors and shareholders of Dream Marriage Group, Inc., including Anastasia Popova.

From: Ilia N. Zavialov.

Subject: Demand to take legal action to redress wrongs committed against the above corporation.

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT DEMAND IS MADE under Rule 23.1 of the finites of Civil Procedure of the State of Nevada upon the directors and shareholders of the above corporation to take all necessary steps, including legal action, to preserve and protect corporate assets and prevent continued misappropriation of income and resources. In particular, shareholder Anastasia Popova has and is expected to continue the following wrongful acts and omissions for her personal gain:

- A. Taking action to gain sole management and control of the corporation.
- B. Seizing, controlling, and receiving business bank and financial accounts.
- C. Seizing, controlling, and receiving accounts receivable.
- D. Seizing, controlling, and receiving montes from credit card and PayPal accounts.
- E. Seizing, controlling, and receiving assets of the corporation.
- F. Using, changing and misappropriating PayPal coding so all corporate payments would be diverted to her sole and personal use.
- G. Excluding Ilia N. Zavialov from his rightful operation, management, and other duties as an officer, director, and shareholder.
- H. Preventing Ilia N. Zavialov from supervising, directing, or managing employees, independent contractors, and vendors. This would include the already existing duties that Plaintiff has such as hiring, firing, or disciplining employees.

By virtue of the above enumerated, the corporation has and will continue to experience damage in the form of misappropriated revenue, assets, goodwill, and customer base.

Demand is therefore made that shareholder Anastasia Popova either: 1) confirm in writing that she will cease and desist any further wrongful activity and restore all income and assets personally acquired or 2) confirm in writing her consent to the bringing of legal action by the corporation to address these wrongdoings and recoup any losses sustained. Due to the urgency of the situation, a response should be made immediately.

in the absence thereof, shareholder Ilia N. Zavialov reserves the right to bring an appropriate shareholder derivative action on behalf of the corporation, together with any individual causes of action he may have without further notice.

Please also be advised that it will not be an appropriate response for shareholder Anastasia Popova to simply allege that misappropriated income or resources shall be redressed by appropriate division of community property assets in the current Los Angeles Superior Court dissolution action under action No. BD 588 375 between Ilia N. Zavialov and Anastasia Popova.

Datad:

Illa N. Zavialov

VERIFICATION

Ilia N. Zavialov, being first duly sworn, deposes and says:

That I am the Plaintiff in the above entitled action; that I have read the foregoing SHAREHOLDER DERIVATIVE ACTION FOR DAMAGES, PRELIMINARY AND PERMANENT INJUNCTION. AND RECEIVERSHIP and know the contents thereof; that the same is true of my own knowledge, except for those matters therein stated upon information and belief, and as to those matters, I believe them to be true. This verification is made pursuant to NRS 23.1 and NRS 15.010 and under penalty of perjury

DATED this 15th day of October, 2013.

The N. Fayalov

· 1 -